IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Confirmation No.: 1516

Samuel Chun-Lap Lo, et al.

Group Art Unit: 1644

Serial No. 10/756,768

Examiner: David A. Saunders

Filed: January 14, 2004

For: METHOD OF DETECTING IMMUNE RESPONSE

REQUEST FOR REFUND

Mail Stop 16 Director of the US Patent and Trademark Office PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Attached hereto is a Decision on a Petition to Revive mailed June 9, 2010. It will be seen on page 2, first paragraph, that a request for a refund must include a copy of this decision.

Counsel herewith requests the refund of the two month extension of time of \$245 paid to the office April 22, 2010. The granted petition, also suggests in the last paragraph on page 1 that the \$810 petition fee is subject to refund. This is not thought to be the case as a petition to revive must carry with it the petition fee but if Counsel is wrong, and the \$810 petition fee is to be refunded, please also refund that. The refunds are to be made to Counsel's credit card and if that is not possible, then to Counsel's deposit account No. 06-1358. An indication as to when and how much the refund is would be greatly appreciated.

Respectfully submitted,

JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC

John C. Holman

Reg. No. 22,769

400 Seventh Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-2201 (202) 638-6666

Atty. Docket: P69448US0

Date: June 24, 2010

Enclosure: Granted Petition

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

LAW OFFICES OF JACOBSON HOLMAN PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY 400 SEVENTH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON DC 20004

MAILED

JUN 0 9 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Samuel Chun-Lap Lo, et al.

Application No.: 10/756,768 ON PETITION

Filed: January 14, 2004

Attorney Docket No.: P69448US0

This is a decision on the renewed petition filed April 22, 2010, under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the above-identified application for copendency with a continuing application.

The petition is GRANTED.

The application became abandoned on December 16, 2006 for a failure to timely reply to a non-final Office action, mailed September 15, 2006. A Notice of Abandonment was subsequently mailed on April 4, 2007. On December 14, 2009, a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was filed; however, the petition was dismissed in a decision mailed March 18, 2010. On April 22, 2010, the present petition was filed, along with a Letter of Express Abandonment and a 2-month petition for extension of time.

Petitioner seeks revival solely for obtaining copendency with continuing application No. 11/656.421 filed January 23, 2007, in that the necessary continuity inadvertently was not maintained at that time.

It is noted that petitioner submitted fees totaling \$1,055 for the present petition and a 2-month extension of time. The offer to make the belated payment of the 2-month extension of time fee under 37 CFR 1.136(a) is unnecessary and no petition fee is required for a renewed petition to revive. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136 are available only if asked for "prior to or with the response." In no case, however, may an applicant respond later than the maximum time period set by statute. Accordingly, if the question of abandonment arises when the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 can no longer be used, then the application is abandoned when the unextended time for response has expired, i.e., December 16, 2006. Accordingly, the \$245 extension of time fees and the \$810 petition fees submitted with the renewed petition are subject to refund.

Any request for refund must included a copy of this decision and be mailed to Mail Stop 16, Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 or faxed to the Customer Service Help Desk at (571) 273-6500.

The above-identified application has been abandoned for an extended period of time. The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is relying on petitioner's duty of candor and good faith and accepting the statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. See Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure, 62 Fed. Reg. at 53160 and 53178; 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office at 88 and 103 (responses to comments 64 and 109) (applicant obligated under 37 CFR 10.18 to inquire into the underlying facts and circumstances when providing the statement required by 37 CFR 1.137(b) to the Patent and Trademark Office). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

The petition now satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b); (2) the petition fee of \$810; and (3) an adequate statement of unintentional delay.

Since this application is being revived for purposes of continuity with application No. 11/656,421, and continuity having been established by this decision reviving the above-captioned application, the above-captioned application is again abandoned in favor of the continuing application.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204.

Sherry D. Brinkley Petitions Examiner Office of Petitions